# THE AGES OF OUR VOLCANOES

#### Compiled by Ken Grimes, Hamilton Field Naturalists Club, August 2013.

There is some confusion concerning the ages of the various volcanoes near Hamilton, in the western part of the Newer Volcanics Province. Part of the problem is that many of the dates cited in the scientific reports for the younger volcanoes are "minimum" ages based on dating of swamp deposits that formed after the actual eruption. The other problem is that the geologists are constantly collecting new samples and trying new methods so the dates keep getting revised.

Numeric dates are generally obtained by measuring some factor, such as the quantity of a radioactive isotope, that changes at an orderly and known rate from a known or predictable starting value. The degree of change can then be converted by a formula to the amount of time that has elapsed since the process started. The statistical error in the measurement is always quoted, as a +/-value, but this error may not always be indicated in references in later reports. Various problems can introduce additional errors or reduce the accuracy of the result: e.g. determining the exact starting state, ensuring that there has been no loss of the material being measured or introduction of foreign material, and allowing for factors that might vary the rate of change through time.

The ideal situation is where we can get an isotope date on the actual volcanic rock, which is basalt lava or scoria. The most common method for doing this is the Potassium/Argon (K/Ar) method. This method measures the decay of a radioactive isotope of potassium (K) to its daughter isotope, argon (Ar). At the time of the eruption any argon, which is a gas, is bubbled off from the lava so we start with zero and the amount builds up at a known rate. By measuring the ratio of the two isotopes we can calculate how long the rock has been solid. Unfortunately, this method is only useful for basalts older that about 100,000 years (also written as "100 ka") - for younger basalts not enough of the argon has built up in the rock to measure accurately unless you can afford some very fancy equipment.

Radiocarbon is another method that uses the radioactive isotope: carbon-14. This has a known quantity in the air, and after it is incorporated into organic material (e.g. wood, bone or shell) it decays at a known rate. By measuring how much is left we can calculate how long it has been since the organism was alive (see figure). Errors can result from contamination by modern organic material. The term calibrated age indicates that the radiocarbon age has been adjusted to allow for measured variations in the rate of generation of C-14 in the air over the last 5000 years or so.



Example of how we can estimate the age of a rock if we know the rate at which a radioactive isotope decays, or the rate of any other physical process and its starting level.

More recently a new dating method has been discovered which measures the build up of isotopes on the surface of a lava flow as a result of cosmic radiation that has been hitting it since it formed.

As it is difficult to get accurate K/Ar isotope dates directly from very young basalt lavas, many of the ages quoted for these have been based on radiocarbon dating of organic material from associated sediments - typically lake sediments within the craters, or peat deposits in swamps that were dammed up by lava flows down valleys. In both those situations the estimate is a *minimum age* as the sediments are younger than the actual eruption by an unknown amount of time. The original author may have discussed the relationships and the probability of the date being close to that of the actual eruption, but these discussions are seldom repeated when the date gets quoted elsewhere and people tend to forget that these are minimum ages - simply quoting the number as the actual date of the eruption. In several cases volcanoes that were once thought to be relatively young (from minimum ages) have had their ages pushed back when further material was found and dated. An example is Mt Napier, long referred to as "about 7000 years old" on the basis of a minimum radiocarbon age from Buckley Swamp, but recently re-dated to 33,000 years (33 ka).

# Ages of some local Volcanoes

## As thought correct in 2013

The following represents our current understanding of the ages of some of the volcanoes in the western part of the volcanic region, but could (will!) change as new ideas and data appear!

## Mount Gambier (4,300, >6000, or over 28,000 years – a conflict).

Mount Gambier was once thought to be the youngest volcano in the region at about 4,300 BP<sup>1</sup>. That was based on several radiocarbon dates from soils buried beneath the ash deposits (Blackburn & others, 1982) and was later supported by an age of 4,200 BP based on thermoluminescence dating of baked tuff underlying a lava flow (Robertson & others, 1996). Thermoluminescence is an effect that builds up in quartz grains with time as a consequence of radioactivity, but is reset by exposure of the grains to heat or sunlight, so it measures the time since the sediment was buried by the volcano.

Contrary to that, an older age of over 28,000 years was suggested by radiocarbon dating of sediments in cores taken from the floor of Blue Lake by Leaney, & others in 1995. More recently, studies of the lake sediments (Gouramanis & others, 2010) showed that the material dated by Leaney & others was probably contaminated by older calcareous sand grains from the Tertiary limestone walls, and a set of new dates back to 5,500 years (calibrated) from plant fibres in the lake sediment is more in accord with the original soil dates (4,300) from beneath the ash. However, there is still some conflict with the soil dates, as this new date from the lake sediment would be a "minimum" age for the eruption as there is an undated deposit beneath the dated section and all lake sediments must postdate the eruption by an uncertain time.

## Mount Schank (about 5,000 years)

The revisions of the age of Mt. Gambier might leave Mount Schank as the youngest volcano in the province, based on a thermoluminescence date of  $4,930 \pm 540$  years BP from the sands underlying it (Smith & Prescott, 1987).

## Mount Napier. (33,000 years)

For a long time Mount Napier was poorly dated. The only date was from Buckley Swamp to the northeast, where a single 7230 BP radiocarbon date was reported from the base of the peat by Gill & Elmore in 1973. As this swamp would have been dammed up by a lava flow from Mount Napier, the date gives a minimum age for the flow. In the absence of any better data many people tended to refer to the volcano as being "about 8000" - and some still do, as that makes it the "youngest" in Victoria, which is important for tourism!!.

More recently a new age was derived from the Harman Valley lava flow which comes from Mt Napier. Stone & others (1997) analysed the surface rocks of the lava at the Byaduk Caves for cosmogenic chlorine isotopes. These isotopes are formed when cosmic rays hit the surface of the rock. The longer the exposure to the radiation the more of these isotopes are accumulated. After recelebration the result was 33.6 ka  $\pm$  2.8. Gillen & others (2010) extended Stones's cosmogenic approach using samples from a tumulus 5 km further west along the same flow; but used Helium and Neon isotopes. Their three estimates varied between 33 and 43 ka, which if averaged gives 37  $\pm$  5 ka and overlaps with Stone's estimate. Note that this cosmogenic approach gives an age for the actual flow, which is more useful that the "minimum" ages gained from the overlying swamp deposits.

## Mount Eccles (Budj Bim). (33,000 to 40,000 years old)

The history of dating efforts here is a good example of how ideas change with time. The initial estimates of the age were made by Gill & Gibbons in 1969. In the Condah swamp<sup>2</sup> at Breakaway Creek, swamp and pond deposits overlie a basalt lava flow that could either have come down the valley from Mount Napier, or (more likely) have backed up it from Mount Eccles. The peat here was dated by radiocarbon at 6235 BP which provided a minimum age for the underlying basalt flow.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> BP = Before Present, where "Present" = 1950 AD.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Do not confuse Condah Swamp with Lake Condah. The swamp lies to the north of the lake.

The Tyrendarra lava flow from Mt. Eccles extends offshore to depths of 33 m indicating that that part of the continental shelf was dry at the time of the flow. The rising post-glacial sea would have reached this depth about 9,000 years ago, providing a minimum age of that flow. Gill (1979) reported a 19,300 BP radiocarbon date from wood in a river bed that underlies the Tyrendarra flow at Ettrick, east of Heywood, indicating a maximum age for the flow at that spot. These two estimates seemed to "bracket" the age of the Tyrendarra lava flow.

In 1981 Ollier reported a minimum age of 19,750 for roots in a soil horizon buried beneath the eastern edge of the main scoria cone of Mount Eccles. However, the sample was very small and so the date had a very large statistical error range (up to 50,000 maximum!). So that date is not very useful. Ollier reviewed the earlier dates and suggested that there could have been at least two stages to the eruption: one about 20,000 years ago formed the scoria cone and the oldest of the Tyrendarra flows, and possibly a younger set of flows about 7000 years ago, though these younger dates were only minimum ages based on the swamp data.

Later, several dates from Condah Swamp by Head & others (1991) indicated an earlier (pre 27,000) date for swamp formation, which was still presumed to postdate the onset of the volcanism. Basal lake muds and peat as old as 27,500 years were found in Condah Swamp and Wittlebury Swamp, but Lake Condah itself does not appear to have formed until about 8,000 years ago. This indicated a minimum age of 27,000 years for the lava flows, and cast some doubt on Gill's 19,300 (maximum) date for the Tyrendarra flow (the most likely cause of the swamp) unless it was from a later eruption from the same centre.

Then, in 2004 geologists from Monash University extracted a core of the sediments on the floor of Lake Surprise. Preliminary, unpublished, information from radiocarbon dating of this material suggested that the crater formed at least 33,000 years ago. However, in a published report Builth & others (2008) used the dates from the crater together with ones from swamps on the Tyrendarra Flow to suggest an age of about 30,000 years (calibrated C14). Note that this was still a "minimum" age from sediments that postdate the actual eruption.

Gillen & others (2010) analysed cosmogenic isotopes from the southern end of the flow and got ages from two samples of 34 and 40 ka, which averaged  $36 \pm 3$  ka. This is compatible with the "minium" ages previously obtained from the sediments burying the volcanics. This age for Budj Bim also overlaps with the age of the Mt Napier lava flow (see above).

#### Tower Hill (about 35,000 years).

Again, the initial (minimum) age estimates from lake deposits (6000 - 7000 BP) are now seen to have been too young. In 1989, D'Costa & others reported new (but still minimum) radiocarbon dates from the lake sediments that suggest that volcanic activity was complete before about 21,000 years ago. Sherwood & others (2004) reported an older (minimum) date from the base of the crater sediments and also dated sediments underlying the ash deposits from the eruption (therefor giving a *maximum* age for the eruption) that indicates that the volcano is about 35,000 years old.

### Mt. Porndon (53,000 to 59,000 years)

The lavas surrounding Mount Porndon were initially thought to be fairly young, but were later given K/Ar dates of 0.3 and 0.26 million years (Rosengren, 1994). More recently, Stone & others (1997) estimated an age of 59,000 years using cosmogenic isotopes from the ring barrier, which is the final flow from the mountain (see the note on Mt Napier for more on this method). That was supported by a later date of  $53 \pm 5$  ka by Gillen & others (2010).

#### Mount Rouse (about 300,000 years).

At Mt. Rouse itself, Ollier (1985) reported a K/Ar date of 1.8 million years (1.8 Ma) from a basalt flow interbedded with the scoria of the cone. Unfortunately, the 1.8 Ma age conflicted with significantly younger ages from the southern end of the Mt Rouse lava flow near Port Fairy where McDougall & Gill (1975) reported several K/Ar dates ranging between 301,000 and 438,000 years. These are supported by a U/Th date on underlying (i.e. older) sediments of 400,000 (Gill & Amin, 1975) and also by more recently reported dates between 294,000 and 382,000 from several sites along the lava flow (Gray & McDougall, 2009; Matchan & Phillips, 2011). Some of the older dates may be

due to extraneous Argon in the original magma, and a round figure of 300,000 years old now seems most suitable for the Mt. Rouse lavas (Boyce, 2011).

### **Portland area (about 3 million years)**

The volcanics at Cape Grant have been dated by K/Ar at between 2.8 and 3.0 million years (Azizur-Rahman & McDougall, 1972). The Volcano of Cape Bridgewater, a cross-section of which is exposed in the cliffs of Bridgewater Bay, has not been directly dated but might be of a similar age.

#### **Older volcanoes and flows.**

The western part of the volcanic province seems to have mainly formed by continual but intermittent volcanism spread over the last 5 million years. The oldest lavas are now deeply weathered to form thick clay soils (as around Hamilton), and the earliest volcanoes are so eroded that they are difficult to recognise.

Mounts Baimbridge and Pierrepoint probably date from middle of that period as they are significantly eroded, but still recognisable. Lava flows near Cavendish, Dunkeld and Mt. Rouse have been K/Ar dated between 1.8 and 2.2 million years (Gray & McDougall, 2009). Further east, in the Lake Bolac–Skipton area, Gray & McDougall (2009) have dates of 0.9-1.0 and 1.9 million years within a sequence of volcanoes and lava flows that spans a period from greater than two million to possibly only a few hundred thousand years ago (MacInnes, 1985)

There is a K/Ar date of 4.47 million years from basalt in the Grange Burn west of Hamilton, and one of 4.0 million from near Lake Linlithgow (revised ages from Bennetts & others, 2003) but neither of those can be tied to a recognisable volcano - unless the Lake Linlithgow flow came from Mt. Pierrepoint, as has been suggested by Rosengren (1994) and Bennetts & others (2003). A particularly old date comes from Lady Julia Percy Island, where K/Ar dates indicate an age of somewhere between 6.2 and 7.8 million years (Edwards & others, 2004).

# References

- Aziz-Ur-Rahman, A., & McDougall, I., 1972: Potasium-argon ages on the Newer Volcanics of Victoria. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria*, **85:** 61-69.
- Bennetts, DA., Webb, JA., & Gray, CM., 2003: Distribution of Plio-Pleistocene basalts and regolith around Hamilton, western Victoria, and their relationship to groundwater recharge and discharge. *In*: Roach I.C. ed. 2003. *Advances in Regolith*, pp. 11-15. CRC LEME www.srw.com.au/Files/Technical\_reports/Bennetts\_et\_al.pdf
- Blackburn, G., Allison, GB., & Leaney, FWJ., 1982: Further evidence of the age of tuff at Mt Gambier, South Australia. *Royal Society of Soutyh Australia, Transactions*, **106**: 163-167; erratum 1984, *ibid*, **108**: 130.
- Boyce, J., 2011: Mount Rouse Volcano. pp. 46-54 in R. Cas & others, VF01: Factors that influence varying eruption styles (from magmatic to phreato-magmatic) in intraplate continental basaltic volcanic provinces: the Newer Volcanics Province of southeastern Australia. IUGG2011 fieldtrip guide, International Union of Geodesy and Geophysics, 25th General Assembly, Melbourne.
- Builth, H., Kershaw, A.P., White, C., Roach, A., Hartney, L., McKenzie, M., Lewis, T., & Jacobsen, G., 2008: Environmental and cultural change on the Mt Eccles lava-flow landscapes of southwest Victoria, Australia. *The Holocene*, 18(3): 413–424.
- D'Costa D. M., Edney P., Kershaw A. P. & De Deckker P., 1989. Late Quaternary palaeoecology of Tower Hill, Victoria, Australia. *Journal of Biogeography* **16:** 461-482.
- Edwards, J., Cayley, RA., & Joyce, EB., 2004: Geology and geomorphology of the Lady Julia Percy Island volcano, a late Miocene submarine and subaerial volcano off the coast of Victoria, Australia. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria*, **116**(1): 15-35.
- Gill, E.D., 1979: The Tyrendarra lava flow, Western Victoria, Australia. Vict. Naturalist, 96: 227-229.
- Gill, E.D., & Amin, B.S., 1975: Interpretations of 7.5 and 4 metre last interglacial shore platforms in southeast Australia. *Search*, **6**: 394-396.

- Gill, E.D. & Elmore, L.K.M., 1973: Radiocarbon dating of Mount Napier eruption, Western Victoria, Australia. *Vict. Naturalist*, **90**: 304-306.
- Gill, E.D. & Gibbons, F.R., 1969: Radiocarbon date related to vulcanism and lake deposits in Western Victoria. *Aust. J. Sci.*, **32:** 109.
- Gillen, D., Honda, M., Chivas, A.R., Yatsevich, I., Patterson, D.B., Carr, P.F., 2010: Cosmogenic <sup>21</sup>Ne exposure dating of young basaltic lava flows from the Newer Volcanic Province, western Victoria, Australia. *Quaternary Geochronology*, **5**: 1–9.
- Gouramanis, C., Wilkins, D. & De Deckker, P., 2010: 6000 years of environmental changes recorded in Blue Lake, South Australia, based on ostracod ecology and valve chemistry. *Palaeogeography*, *Palaeoclimatology*, *Palaeoecology*, **297**(1): 223-237.
- Gray, C.M. & McDougall, I., 2009: K-Ar geochronology of basalt petrogenesis, Newer Volcanic Province, Victoria. *Australian Journal of Earth Sciences*, **56(2)**: 245-258.
- Head, L., D'Costa, D., and Edney, P., 1991: Pleistocene dates for volcanic activity in Western Victoria and implications for aboriginal occupation. *in* Williams, M.A.J., De Deckker, P., and Kershaw, A.P. [Eds] *The Cainozoic in Australia: a re-appraisal of the evidence*. Geological Society of Australia, Special Publication 18. p 302-308.
- Leaney, FWJ., Allison, GB., Dighton, JC., & Trumbore, S., 1995: The age and hydrological history of Blue Lake, South Australia. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*. **118**: 111-130.
- McDougall, I., & Gill, E.D., 1975: Potassium-argon ages from the Quaternary succession in the Warrnambool -Port Fairy area, Victoria, Australia. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Vict.*, **87**: 175-178.
- MacInnes, K.J., 1985: The Newer Volcanics of the Mt. Hamilton Region in western Victoria. B.Sc (hons) thesis, School of Geology, Melbourne University.
- Matchan, E. & Phillips, D., 2011: New <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar ages for selected young (<1 Ma) basalt flows of the Newer Volcanic Province, southeastern Australia. *Quaternary Geochronology*. 6: 356-368. 10.1016/j.quageo.2011.03.002
- Ollier, C.D., 1981: A buried soil at Mount Eccles, Western Victoria, and date of eruption. *Vict. Naturalist*, **98**: 195-199.
- Ollier, C.D., 1985: Lava flows of Mount Rouse, Western Victoria. Proc. Roy. Soc. Vict. 97: 167-174.
- Robertson, G.B., Prescott, J.R. & Hutton, J.T., 1996. Thermoluminescence dating of volcanic activity at Mount Gambier, South Australia. *Royal Society of South Australia, Transactions*, **120**(1): 7-12.
- Rosengren, N, 1994: Eruption points of the Newer Volcanics Province of Victoria. Unpublished report to the National Trust of Australia (Victoria) and the Geological Society of Victoria (Victorian Division).
- Sherwood, J., Oyston, B., & Kershaw, AP., 2004: The age and contemporary environments of Tower Hill Volcano, southwest Victoria, Australia. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria*, **116**: 69-76.
- Smith, BW., & Prescott, JR., 1987: Thermoluminescence dating of the eruption at Mt Schank, South Australia. *Australian Journal of Earth Sciences*, **34:** 335-342.
- Stone, J., Peterson, JA, Fifield, LK., & Cresswell, RG., 1997: Cosmogenic chlorine-36 exposure ages for two basalt flows in the Newer Volcanics Province, Western Victoria. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria.* 109(2): 121-131.
- Webb, J.A., Joyce, E.B., & Stevens, N.C., 1982: Lava Caves of Australia. *In* Proceedings of the Third International Symposium on Vulcanospeleology. International Speleological Foundation, Seattle. p74-85.